

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/737,064	HORNBACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR. (3) _____.

(2) Steven M. Greenberg (Reg. No. 44,725). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested claim amendments to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. See Examiner's Amendment Claims.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sath V Perungavoor/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

